Casasas43:14crc006866JSW Document229 Fillett02/2/04/22 PRage of of 3

Shira Kieval (SBN: 269409)
For Thomas J. Nolan (SBN: 48413)

Nolan, Armstrong

600 University Ave. \ Palo Alto, Ca. 94301 Tel. (650) 326-2980 Fax (650) 326-9704

Attorney for Defendant Sharon Wang



UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, No. CR 11-686 JW Plaintiff.

V.
SHARON WANG,
Defendant.

STIPULATION TO CONTINUE FILING
DEADLINES AND HEARING ON
MOTION TO DISMISS AND TO
EXCLUDE TIME; [PROPOSED] ORDER

Before The Honorable James Ware

The parties stipulate to continue the filings deadlines and hearing on defendant Sharon Wang's to-be-filed motion to dismiss. Currently, Ms. Wang's motion is due to be filed on Monday, February 13, 2012, the government's opposition brief is due on February 27, 2012, and Ms. Wang's reply is due on March 5, 2012. The motion hearing is scheduled for March 12, 2012, at 1:30 p.m. The parties request the following deadlines and hearing date instead:

Motion to dismiss filing deadline: March 19, 2012

Opposition brief filing deadline : April 2, 2012

Reply brief filing deadline : April 9, 2012

Hearing on motion to dismiss: April 16, 2012 at 1:30 p.m.

STIPULATION TO CONTINUE TRIAL SETTING HEARING AND TO EXCLUDE TIME; ORDER [PROPOSED]; CASE NO. CR 11-686 (JW)

Casas 43:11:0:e00686619W Document 229 Fillet to 2/2/0/4/22 PRage 2 of of 3

1	This request is made in order to per-	mit Magistrate Judge Vadas sufficient time to complete
2	his Report and Recommendation regarding	g this motion. The parties appeared via video before
3	Magistrate Judge Vadas on February 8, 2	012. During the video conference, Magistrate Judge
4	Vadas requested that a walled-off Assistant U.S. Attorney be permitted to see certain of the seale	
5	documents so that he could respond to the	hem on behalf of the government, in order to assist
6 7	Magistrate Judge Vadas with making his Ro	eport and Recommendation regarding the procedures to
8	use for the motion to dismiss. Counsel	for Ms. Wang has provided these documents to the
9	Assistant U.S. Attorney, and he now has two weeks to respond on behalf of the government. After	
10	he responds, the parties are to schedule another hearing date in front of Magistrate Judge Vadas.	
11	Therefor, it will not be possible for Ms. Wang to file her motion to dismiss as scheduled	
12	and the parties request that the deadlines and hearing date for the motion be continued. The parties also stipulate that the time between February 13, 2012 and March 19, 2012.	
13		
14	should be excluded under the Speedy Trial Act because this continuance serves the ends of justice	
15	due to the complex nature of this pretrial proceeding. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161 (h)(7)(B)(ii).	
16 17	due to the complex nature of this pretrial pr	occoung. See 10 O.S.C. § 5101 (n)(7)(D)(n).
18		De an extfully, submitted
19		Respectfully submitted, NOLAN, ARMSTRONG & BARTON, LLP
20		/s/
21	Dated: February 28, 2012	Shira Kieval for Thomas J. Nolan, Esq.
22		Attorney for Defendant Sharon Wang
23		
24	Dated: February 28, 2012	/s/
25		David Callaway Assistant United States Attorney
26		
27		

28

1 ATTESTATION PER GENERAL ORDER 45 2 I, Shira Kieval, have permission from ECF User Thomas J. Nolan to use the ID and 3 password being used to file this Stipulation. In compliance with General Order 45, X.B., I hereby 4 attest that David Callaway has concurred with this filing. 5 6 PROPOSED ORDER 7 Based upon the stipulation of the parties, and good cause appearing therefor, 8 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the filings deadlines and March 12, 2012 hearing on 9 defendant Sharon Wang's motion to dismiss are CONTINUED. The following dates now govern 10 defendant Wang's motion to dismiss: 11 Motion to dismiss filing deadline: March 19, 2012 12 13 Opposition brief filing deadline: April 2, 2012 14 Reply brief filing deadline: April 9, 2012 15 April 16, 2012 at 1:30 p.m. Hearing on motion to dismiss: 16 17 The time between February 13, 2012 and March 19, 2012 are hereby excluded under the 18 Speedy Trial Act because this continuance serves the ends of justice due to the complex nature of 19 this pretrial proceeding. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161 (h)(7)(B)(ii). 20 21 22 Dated: February <u>14</u>, 2012 23 nited States District Court Judge 24 25 26 27

28